

Colorado Supreme Court 2 East 14th Avenue Denver, CO 80203	DATE FILED: January 22, 2018 CASE NUMBER: 2017SA215
Original Proceeding in Unauthorized Practice of Law, 2017UPL24	
Petitioner: The People of the State of Colorado, v. Respondent: Lorenzo Slaton.	Supreme Court Case No: 2017SA215
ORDER OF COURT	

Upon consideration of the Report of Hearing Master under C.R.C.P. 236(a) filed in the above cause, and now being sufficiently advised in the premises,

IT IS ORDERED that this Court APPROVES the Stipulation, Agreement and Affidavit containing the Respondent's Conditional Admission of Unauthorized Practice of Law,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said Respondent, LORENZO SLATON shall be, and the same hereby is ENJOINED from engaging in the unauthorized practice of law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent, LORENZO SLATON is assessed costs in the amount of \$279.00. Said costs to be paid to the Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel, within (35) days of the date of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent, LORENZO SLATON shall pay restitution with interest to the following individual as detailed in the Report of the Hearing Master: Demssachew Weldegiorgis.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this court WAIVES any fines in this matter pursuant to C.R.C.P. 236(a).

BY THE COURT, JANUARY 22, 2018.

<p>SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO</p> <p>ORIGINAL PROCEEDING IN THE UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE 1300 BROADWAY, SUITE 250 DENVER, CO 80203</p>	
<p>Petitioner: THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO</p> <p>Respondent: LORENZO SLATON</p>	<p>Case Number: 17SA215</p>
<p>REPORT OF HEARING MASTER UNDER C.R.C.P. 236(a)</p>	

Before the Presiding Disciplinary Judge (“the PDJ”) in this unauthorized practice of law matter is an “Order of Court” entered on October 27, 2017, by the Colorado Supreme Court, referring this matter to the PDJ for “findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations.”

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Kim E. Ikeler, Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel (“the People”), filed a “Petition for Injunction” against Lorenzo Slaton (“Respondent”) on September 11, 2017, alleging that he engaged in the unauthorized practice of law. The Colorado Supreme Court issued an “Order to Show Cause” on September 28, 2017. Respondent responded to the petition on October 19, 2017.

The PDJ held a scheduling conference on November 14, 2017. A prehearing conference was set for March 20, 2018, and a hearing was set for April 17, 2018. On December 5, 2017, the parties filed a “Stipulation, Agreement and Affidavit Containing the Respondent’s Conditional Admission of Unauthorized Practice of Law.” In the stipulation, Respondent agrees that he engaged in the practice of law. He also agrees to be enjoined from the practice of law and to pay restitution and costs.


II. ORDER AND RECOMMENDATION

The PDJ **ACCEPTS** the parties’ stipulation. The PDJ **VACATES** the prehearing conference on March 20, 2018, and the hearing on April 17, 2018.

The PDJ **RECOMMENDS** that the Colorado Supreme Court take the following actions:

- (1) **APPROVE** the stipulation;
- (2) **ENJOIN** Respondent from the unauthorized practice of law;
- (3) **ORDER** Respondent to pay **COSTS** of \$279.00 within thirty-five days of the date of the Colorado Supreme Court's order, in accordance with the terms set forth in paragraph 6 of the stipulation;
- (4) **ORDER** Respondent to pay restitution with interest to Demssachew Weldegiorgis in the amount of \$370.00, plus interest at 8%, for a total of \$432.81, accruing at \$.10 *per diem* from November 15, 2017, in accordance with the terms set forth in paragraph 7 of the stipulation; and
- (5) **WAIVE** any **FINE** pursuant to C.R.C.P. 236(a).¹

DATED THIS 6th DAY OF DECEMBER, 2017.


WILLIAM R. LUCERO
PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE



Copies to:

Kim E. Ikeler
Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel

Via Email
k.ikeler@csc.state.co.us

Lorenzo Slaton
Respondent
2626 Gaylord St.
Denver, CO 80205

Via First-Class Mail and Email
slatonaor@yahoo.com

Cheryl Stevens
Colorado Supreme Court

Via Hand Delivery

¹ "A report from the Presiding Disciplinary Judge approving the parties' stipulation to injunction may be exempt from a fine."